



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,689	12/11/2003	Mark A. Gallop	XENO-006/02US	1189
20040 74	0/1000005		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			DENTZ, BERNARD I	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4 EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400			1625	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/734,689	GALLOP, MARK A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Dentz	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, , , , ,	, ,			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
AM - December 2016					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-24-2005.	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1625

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of Gallop et al, U.S.

Patent No. 6,818,787 which is commonly owned in view of Bryans et al, WO 01/28978 cited by applicants. The instant application claims carbamate type pro-drugs of 1-aminomethyl-bicycloalky-1-ylacetic acids. The compounds are useful in the treatment of epilepsy and other diseases of the CNS. The former reference (i. e. assignee's US Patent) claims the same pro-drug type carbamate derivatives of 1-aminomethyl-cyclohex-1-ylacetic acid which are GABA analogs used to treat epilepsy and other diseases of the CNS. Since the latter teaches that 1-aminomethyl-bicycloalk-1-ylacetic acids are known in the same therapeutic field the instant claims represent an attempt at obvious double patenting. Note that the basic moiety of claim 6 which is 3-aminomethyl-bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-3-yl)acetic acid is taught by the latter reference at p. 8, second compound.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/734,689

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Gallop et al, supra in view of Bryans et al, supra. The logic is exactly the same as

above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Bernard Dentz whose telephone number is 571-272-

0683. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571 272-0562. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dentz

6-16-2005

PERNARD DENTZ PRIMARY EXAMINED